County Based Funding Practices and Ranking Questions developed by Local Work Group (LWG) For FY2008							
1.	County	name	Carroll				
		ginning Farmer Payment Sche county is	90%				
2.	PRAC. CODE	CONSERVATION PRACTICE NAME	UNITS	The Local work Group Recommended Using These Practices (A "yes" has been entered for those practices available in this funding pool to use in your county. Blank practices are not available with this ranking tool but may be available from other funding pools.)			
	328	Conservation Crop Rotation (Ac)	Ac	YES			
	342	Critical Area Planting (Ac)	Ac	YES			
	362	Diversion (Ft)	CY	YES			
	382	Fence (Ft)	FT or Strand/ft	YES			
	410	Grade Stabilization Structure (No)	CY or DIFT or Ton	YES			
	561	Heavy Use Area Protection (Ac)	SF	YES			
	484	Mulching (Ac)	Ac or Sq Yd	YES			
	590	Nutrient Management (Ac)	Ac	YES			
	512	Pasture and Hay Planting (Ac)	Ac	YES			
	516	Pipeline (Ft)	DIFT	YES YES			
	600	Terrace (Ft)	CY				
	612	Tree/Shrub Establishment (Ac)	Ac	YES			
	490	Tree/Shrub Site Preparation (Ac) formerly-Forest Site Preparation	Ac	YES YES			
	620	Underground Outlet (Ft)	DIFT				
	645	Upland Wildlife Habitat Management (Ac)	Ac	YES			
	638	Water & Sediment Control Basin (No)	CY	YES			
	614	Water Facility (No)	Each	YES			

3. LOCAL ISSUES: Your Local Work Group developed the following yes or no questions to be used in the County Base Ranking Tool. Points will only be awarded with a Yes Answered.

Qı	uestion			
	#	Question	Points	Responses
	1	Does the application include sturctural practices to reduce ephemeral and gully erosion?	100	Yes No
	2	Does the application include critical area treatment to reduce soil erosion or improve soil quality? Does the application include practices to reduce soil erosion or	95	Yes No
	3	improve water quality?	90	Yes No
	4	Are cropland acres being planted to permanent vegetation? Does the application involve tree planting on cropland or	85	Yes No
	5	pastureland? Does the application include practices that utilize soil testing for	80	Yes No
	6	nutrient management?	75	Yes No
	7	Does the practice improve forest health and production? Does the application result in fencing off streams or water	70	Yes No
	8	bodies?	65	Yes No
	9	Does the application include alternative watering facilities to improve livestock health?	60	Yes No
	10	Does the application include a rotational grazing plan? Does the application include practices that improve or protect	55	Yes No
	11	wildlife habitat?	50	Yes No
	12	If the application is approved, would this be the producer's first EQIP contract?	45	Yes No
	13	Blank	40	Yes No
	14	Blank	35	Yes No
	15	Blank	30	Yes No
	16	Blank	25	Yes No
	17	Blank	20	Yes No
	18	Blank	15	Yes No
	19	Blank	10	Yes No
	20	Blank	5	Yes No